UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JAMES S. PAVLICHKO, SR.

Plaintiff,

and

JAMES S. PAVLICHKO, JR., A Minor Child

Plaintiff,

vs.

GLEN MILLS SCHOOL, COSIMO D. FERRAINOLA, LARRY LAURENCE, GREG FRAZIER, JIM WELSH, TODD DONAHUE, T.J. GREEN, AND NELSON YANEZ, Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION #: 02-cv-02889

PLAINTIFFS' PROPOSED INTERROGATORIES TO THE JURY

Plaintiffs James S. Pavlichko, Sr. and James S. Pavlichko, Jr. submit the following Proposed Interrogatories to the Jury.

Respectfully submitted,

HANGLEY ARONCHICK SEGAL & PUDLIN

Dated: October 6, 2003

Bruce S. Haines

Kathleen M. Laubenstein Matthew A. Hamermesh

One Logan Square, 27th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103

Telephone: (215) 568-6200 Facsimile: (215) 568-0300

Counsel for Plaintiffs

PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSED JURY QUESTIONS

1.	Eighth Amendment : Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that defendant Glen Mills School, acting under color of state law, intentionally violated plaintiff James S. Pavlichko, Jr.'s constitutional right not to be subjected to cruel and unusual punishment?			
	YES	. NO		
	under color of state 1	aw, intentionally o	evidence that defendant Thomas Green, acting committed acts that violated plaintiff James S. to be subjected to cruel and unusual punishment?	
	YES	. NO	<u> </u>	
2.	Retaliation: Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that defendant Glen Mills School, acting under color of state law, intentionally took adverse action against plaintiff James S. Pavlichko, Jr. in retaliation for his exercise of his rights under the First Amendment of the United States Constitution?			
	YES	. NO		
	Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that defendant James Welsh, acting under color of state law, intentionally took adverse action against plaintiff James S. Pavlichko, Jr. in retaliation for his exercise of his rights under the First Amendment of the United States Constitution?			
	YES	NO		
	Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that defendant Nelson Yanez, acting under color of state law, intentionally took adverse action against plaintiff James S. Pavlichko, Jr. in retaliation for his exercise of his rights under the First Amendment of the United States Constitution?			
	YES	NO	<u> </u>	
3.	Assault: Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that defendant Thomas Green intended to put the plaintiff James S. Pavlichko, Jr. in reasonable and immediate fear of a harmful or offensive contact with his body, and that the plaintiff James S. Pavlichko, Jr., as a result of the defendant Thomas Green's actions, was put in reasonable and immediate fear of such harmful or offensive contact?			
	YES	NO		

4.	Battery: Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that that the defendant Thomas Green intended to cause a harmful or offensive contact with the body of the plaintiff James S. Pavlichko, Jr., or that the defendant Thomas Green intended to put plaintiff James S. Pavlichko, Jr. in reasonable and immediate fear of a harmful or offensive contact with his body, and that the actions of defendant Thomas Green directly resulted in a harmful or offensive contact with the plaintiff James S. Pavlichko, Jr.'s body?		
	YESNO		
5.	Damages: We award James S. Pavlichko, Jr. damages in the amount of:		
	\$		
5.	5. Punitive Damages:		
	We assess punitive damages against defendant Thomas Green in the amount of:		
	\$		
	We assess punitive damages against defendant James Welsh in the amount of:		
	\$		
	We assess punitive damages against defendant Nelson Yanez in the amount of:		
	\$		

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Kathleen M. Laubenstein, hereby certify that on October 6, 2003, I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing Plaintiffs' Proposed Interrogatories to the Jury to be served on the following by overnight delivery:

> Edward M. Galang, Esquire Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin One Montgomery Plaza, Suite 1002 Norristown, PA 19401-4814

Guy Vilim, Esquire Gold & Vilim 1608 Walnut Street **Suite 1600** Philadelphia, PA 19103

Kathleen M. Laubenstein